

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/649,101 08/27/2003 Scott D. Best 65,716-071 5474 27305 7590 07/12/2004 EXAMINER HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. MCCARRY JR, ROBERT J THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-5151 3617

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)
0.00	A-C 0	10/649,1	101	BEST ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examine	or	Art Unit
			. McCarry, Jr.	3617
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communicat	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re- If NO period for re- Failure to reply will Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA e may be available under the provisions of 3: THS from the mailing date of this communically specified above is less than thirty (30) deply is specified above, the maximum statuto thin the set or extended period for reply will, I by the Office later than three months after the adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no extension. ays, a reply within the state or a reply within the state of the stat	vent, however, may a reply be tin ututory minimum of thirty (30) day vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status	, , ,			
1)☐ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed o	on .		
		 ☐ This action is i	non-final.	
	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is			
	accordance with the practice			
Disposition of Cla		- '	,	
4) Claim(s)	1-37 is/are pending in the appl	lication.		
	e above claim(s) is/are v		onsideration.	
	is/are allowed.	2.1.2		
	1-37 is/are rejected.			
	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction	n and/or election i	requirement.	
Application Paper				
9)☐ The speci	fication is objected to by the Ex	vaminor		
	ing(s) filed on <u>8/27/03</u> is/are: a		h) ohierted to by the	Evaminor
	may not request that any objection			
	ent drawing sheet(s) including the		-	. ,
	or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35	•		•	
	dgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	rtified copies of the priority doc			
				d in this National Stage
	plication from the International			
See the at	ached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certi	mea copies not receive	a.
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of Referen	ces Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftspe	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO	948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te
Paper No(s)/Mail	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Date <u>12/23/03, 5/17/04</u> .	//OB/UB)	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)
5. Patent and Trademark Office				
FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	О	Office Action Summa	ry Par	t of Paper No./Mail Date 20040707

Application/Control Number: 10/649,101

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statements were received on December 23, 2003 and May 17, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Christopherson (US 6,209,918) in view of Bulka (US 5,343,647).

Christopherson discloses a promotional assembly comprised of a first layer of material made of a paper or card stock type material. Advertising indicia is printed on all sections as shown in figure 1 and 2. The layer has a plurality of score lines cut through the material to define and advertising portion the left half of portion 12' and a portion of smaller coupons 26. The smaller score lines are shown on portion 10' as the horizontal and vertical dotted lines. Figure 6 shows the card to have a circular opening and slit so as to allow the card to be hung from a doorknob or other structure for a customer.

Christopherson discloses the design of an advertising card above, however Christopherson does not disclose the use of multiple layers for the advertising card. Nor does Christopherson show a specific measurement for the thickness of the layers or the card in general. Bulka discloses an advertising card comprised of two outer layers for printed indicia and a third layer sandwiched between the two outer layers, as shown in

Application/Control Number: 10/649,101

Art Unit: 3617

figure 2. Wherein the layers can be made of plastic as stated in column 2 line 65 to column 3 line 1. An additional flexible layer is positioned at the exterior of the outer layer and provides a removable sticker type of coupon as shown in figure 3 attached with an adhesive compound.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have applied multiple layers, like that of Bulka, to an advertising card, like that of Christopherson, in order to make the card more durable and to allow for more eye catching graphics to be used in the design.

Regarding the thickness of the card. It would have been an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art to make the advertising cards of varying thickness so that they can utilize different types of indicia and graphic designs.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Martin (US 5,868,498), Hanstead et al (US 6,299, 530) and Thompson et al (US 6,623,039) all disclose various types of advertising indicia.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. McCarry, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joseph Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/649,101

Art Unit: 3617

Page 4

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RJM July 7, 2004

HOBERT J. MOCARRY, JR.
PATENT EXAMINER
7 1 04